

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/763,968	05/14/2001	Futoshi Sakaguchi	2282-0140P	3457
2292	7590 08/14/2003			16/
	WART KOLASCH &	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			GRIFFIN, WALTER DEAN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1764	, ,
			DATE MAILED: 08/14/2003	l .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.

Walter D. Griffin

Applicant(s)

SAKAGUCHI ET AL.

09/763,968 Examiner

**Art Unit** 1764

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 31 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued

PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]  a)	Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
b) ☐ The period for reply expires on (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the saltutory period for reply expire later than SiX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been fited is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply organally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (6) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely flied, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.794(b).  1. ☐ A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.  2. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:  (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or  (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE:  3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable cla	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
event, however, will the statutory period for rebly expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the file. The appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the filed. The proposed amendment of the filed within the period set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.  2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:  (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);  (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or  (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE:  3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if s	a) $\boxtimes$ The period for reply expires $4$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action. or (2) as set forth in (3) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.  2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:  (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or  (d) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or  (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE: 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  5. The a) afficiavit, b) exhibit, or o) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.  6 The affidavit or exhibit will	event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.  2. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:  (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);  (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or  (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE:  3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.  6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE:  3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.  6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE:  3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.  6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(c)	(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
issues for appeal; and/or  (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE:  3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.  6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
NOTE:  3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.  6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
<ul> <li>3.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>4.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> <li>5.  The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.</li> <li>6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> <li>7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.</li> <li>The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:</li> </ul>	(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
<ul> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> <li>The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) ∑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.</li> <li>The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> <li>For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.</li> <li>The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:</li> <li>Claim(s) allowed:</li> <li>Claim(s) objected to:</li> <li>Claim(s) rejected:</li> <li>Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:</li> </ul>	NOTE:
canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.  6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: Claim(s)	3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.  6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration claim(s) withdrawn from claim(s) withdrawn from claim(s) withdrawn from claim(s) withdrawn from cla	
raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	<del>-</del>
Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	Claim(s) objected to:
	Claim(s) rejected:
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
	8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10. Other:	10. Other:
filk Confi	hilk Conty
Walter D. Griffin Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1764	Walter D. Griffin Primary Examiner

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 09/763,968

Continuation of 5, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the following reasons. The argument that Applicants believe that the Apelian reference discloses the adsorption of ammonia onto the catalyst and not an organic nitrogen compound as claimed is not persuasive because Apelian discloses that compounds the same as and similar to those claimed can be used. Because of the similarities between the Apelian compounds and the claimed compounds, the examiner asserts that the same mechanisms would be operating in the Apelian and claimed processes and that those mechanisms would result in the adsorption of the nitrogen compounds onto the catalyst. The argument that the Apelian reference does not suggest that using an organic nitrogen compound as claimed will give the superior results is not persuasive because the use of the organic compounds disclosed by Apelian would necessarily produce results similar to those from the claimed process. The argument that the deactivation rate of the claimed process is superior to that of Apelian is not persuasive. At equilibrium, the catalyst of Apelian has a deactivation rate of ranging from 0.01 to 0.3F. See column 6, lines 7-9. This deactivation rate is comparable to the deactivation rate resulting from the claimed process.